



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,458	06/23/2003	Eric Norman Johnson	024060	4512
826	7590 01/04/2006		EXAM	INER
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			PHAM, THOMAS K	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000		'F 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E, NC 28280-4000	L 4000	2121	-

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,458	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas K. Pham	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ne 2003					
,	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	A parto quayro, 1000 oral 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/602,458 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

## First Action on the Merits

1. Claims 1-23 of U.S. Application 10/602,458 filed on 06/23/2003 are presented for examination.

## Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6.

Page 3

5,367,612 ("Bozich").

Regarding claim 1

Bozich teaches a method comprising the step of: generating a hedge signal ("offsetting vibration

signal") to avoid adaptation to at least one characteristic of an adaptive control system and/or a

plant controlled by the adaptive control system (see col. 3 lines 27-42).

Regarding claim 13

Bozich teaches in an adaptive control system for controlling a plant, a hedge unit ("offsetting

vibration signal") coupled to receive at least one control signal and a plant state signal (see FIG.

3B), the hedge unit generating a hedge signal based on the control signal, the plant state signal,

and a hedge model (see col. 13 lines 16-19, "inverse neuro-emulator 36I") including a first

model having a characteristic to which the adaptive control system is not to adapt (see col. 13

lines 20-29), and a second model not having the characteristic to which the adaptive control

system is not to adapt (see col. 13 lines 4-15), the hedge signal used in the adaptive control

system to remove the characteristic from a signal supplied to an adaptation law unit of the

adaptive control system so that the adaptive control system does not adapt to the characteristic in

controlling the plant (see col. 3 lines 27-42).

Regarding claim 2

Bozich teaches modifying a commanded state signal with the hedge signal (see col. 13 lines 29-

35); generating a reference model state signal based on the commanded state signal modified

with the hedge signal (see col. 18 lines 49-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,458

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 3

Bozich teaches generating a tracking error signal based on the reference model state signal and a

Page 4

plant state signal (see col. 17 lines 53-65); and generating an adaptive control signal to adapt

control response of the adaptive control system (see col. 19 lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 4

Bozich teaches the hedge signal is generated based on a difference between a first signal derived

from a plant model not having the characteristic (see col. 13 lines 4-15), and a second signal

derived from a plant model having the characteristic (see col. 13 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 5

Bozich teaches the first signal is generated based on an input control signal and a plant state

signal (see FIG. 3B) in addition to the plant model not having the characteristic (see col. 13 lines

4-15), and the second signal is generated further based on a command control signal and a plant

state signal in addition to the plant model having the characteristic (see col. 13 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 6

Bozich teaches the input control signal is generated based on at least one of the commanded state

signal, reference model state signal, a plant state signal, and an adaptive control signal (see col.

13 lines 16-19, "inverse neuro-emulator 36I").

Regarding claim 7

Bozich teaches the command control signal is generated based on the input control signal

modified by a control allocation and a control characteristic imposed by the controller (see col.

12 lines 50-56).

Regarding claim 8

Art Unit: 2121

Bozich teaches the second signal is generated based on an actuator state signal (see col. 12 lines

50-56, "an electromagnetic shaker").

Regarding claim 9

Bozich teaches generating a display based on the input control signal, an operator generating the

command control signal based on the display (see col. 5 lines 5-20).

Regarding claims 10-12 and 21-23

Bozich teaches the plant is an aircraft and/or spacecraft, an automobile or an unmanned vehicle

(see col. 4 lines 36-45).

Regarding claims 14 and 15

Bozich teaches the characteristic is a time delay between generation of the commanded state

signal by the controller at a time, and receipt by the controller of the plant state signal resulting

from the commanded state signal generated at the time (see col. 12 lines 31-49); and wherein the

characteristic is a time delay between generation of a state by the plant and sensing of the state of

the plant by the sensor to generate the plant state signal (see col. 16 lines 54-66).

Regarding claims 16-20

Bozich teaches an adaptive control system wherein the characteristic pertains to a control limit of

the actuator used to control the plant wherein the control limit pertains to actuator end points,

actuator dynamics, a rate limit of the actuator or quantization effects associated with the actuator

(see col. 15 lines 21-49).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,458

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to examiner Thomas Pham; whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3689, Monday - Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor Mr. Anthony

Knight at (571) 272-3687.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax

number (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Thomas Pham** 

Patent Examiner

December 27, 2005

si have